26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

P.H.N., Plaintiff. VS. CALIFORNIA BOARD of REGISTERED NURSING, et al., Defendants. CASE NO. 11cv1760-MMA (POR)

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

[Doc. No. 2]

DENYING AS MOOT MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

[Doc. No. 3]

On August 8, 2011, Plaintiff Catherine E. West, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint against Defendants California Board of Registered Nursing and Employment Development Department. Although the precise nature of Plaintiff's claims is unclear, she appears to challenge the revocation or withholding of her license to practice as a Registered Nurse. Plaintiff also filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis and a motion requesting appointment of counsel.

All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a plaintiff's failure to prepay the entire fee only if the plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999). "To proceed in forma pauperis is a

> - 1 -11cv1760

privilege not a right." Smart v. Heinze, 347 F.2d 114, 116 (9th Cir. 1965).

Plaintiff avers that she is employed part time, earning approximately \$160 per week, and currently receives Social Security benefits in the amount of \$1799 per month. In addition, Plaintiff states that she is a recipient of a \$13,091 annuity payment. Plaintiff lists a variety of personal items as valuable assets, and states that she owns an automobile. Plaintiff indicates that she owes monthly debts, including rent, pet-related expenses, and payments to various creditors. The Court's calculates based on Plaintiff's representations that her stated income exceeds her identified debts by approximately \$600 per month.

A party need not be completely destitute to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *Adkins v. E.I.*DuPont de Nemours & Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339-40 (1948). But "the same even-handed care must be employed to assure that federal funds are not squandered to underwrite, at public expense, either frivolous claims or the remonstrances of a suitor who is financially able, in whole or in material part, to pull his own oar." *Temple v. Ellerthorpe*, 586 F. Supp. 848, 850 (D.R.I. 1984). Here, Plaintiff's submission does not demonstrate that she lacks the financial resources or assets to pay the costs of commencing this action.

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* for the reasons stated above. Based thereon, the Court also **DENIES AS MOOT** Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel.

If Plaintiff wishes to proceed, she must pay the \$350 filing fee required to commence this action on or before *August 29, 2011*. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 15, 2011

Hon. Michael M. Anello United States District Judge

Michael Tu- a rello

- 2 - 11cv1760